



# Results

**Question 1:** Have you participated with the Long Tom Watershed Council's meetings or events in the last 20 years? For how long?



# Results


**Question 2:** Have you participated with the Long Tom Watershed Council's outreach process for the habitat improvement project?



# Results

**Question 3: Part a.** One of the aspects of the Long Tom River Habitat Plan is LTWC offering review and assistance to landowners interested in improving habitat for fish and wildlife on their property along the River. How supportive are you of the Council working with interested landowners on habitat projects on their own property? **Part b.** If it improves habitat w/o increasing flooding, how supportive are you?

Responses




- Supportive
- Not supportive

# Results

**Question 4:** Please answer the next set of questions on a scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree with neutral or not enough information being the middle. You can also just say agree or disagree.

- a. I know the Long Tom River provides essential fish habitat for trout and salmon.
- b. I have questions about fish usage in the Long Tom River.
- c. I support improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop structure.

Question 4: Parts a, b and c




# Results

**Question 4:** Please answer the next set of questions on a scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree with neutral or not enough information being the middle. You can also just say agree or disagree.

- d. I think my property will be directly impacted by changes to the Monroe Drop Structure.
- e. I trust the Long Tom Watershed Council and project partners to provide factual information, such as an analysis of alternatives.
- f. I know I can reach out directly to a key contact with either the Long Tom Watershed Council or the Corps of Engineers.

Question 4: Parts d, e and f




# Results

**Question 4:** Please answer the next set of questions on a scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree with neutral or not enough information being the middle. You can also just say agree or disagree.

- g. Improving the Monroe drop structure for fish should also result in beautification of that stretch of River for the Community.
- h. If it turns out to be the most practical and cost effective alternative, I support removal of the Monroe Drop Structure, (if Monroe's water supply can be maintained and if removal does not increase flood risk).

Question 4: Parts g and h



# Results

**Question 5:** What remaining questions do you have about improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure; and do you have any concerns?

- Concerned about long-term maintenance
- Details, things like longitudinal profile, grade control
- Energy dissipation and bank stability if dam is removed
- City of Monroe water availability.
- Need to have a video or animation of what the channel could look like
- Need more focus on recreation
- What happens at the upstream structures? What is the plan?
- How far can fish migrate upstream to the next barrier?
- What could be the potential adverse impacts to agriculture?

# Results

**Question 6:** What information would you find the most useful in order to support any specific alternative to improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure? (what the river would look like, river levels throughout a typical year, how much it would cost, etc).

- Cost (*several respondents mentioned*)
- All the items mentioned in the question (*several respondents mentioned*)
- What is the over-arching vision for the Long Tom River?



# Results

**Question 7:** What level of support from you may we cite to help obtain another grant to allow the Council to continue working toward a positive and productive solution for fish passage?

- Very supportive (half of respondents)
- Willing to write/sign letter of support
- Willing to talk to other landowners
- Not supportive (one respondent)

# Results

**Question 8:** Do you own property on the Long Tom River that can be impacted by Corps maintenance actions downstream from Fern Ridge?

11 Respondents replied "No"

10 Respondents replied "Yes"

# Overall Themes

- Respondents overall, very supportive of the Council and the Corps and feel good about the outreach process so far and the ability to participate in the project.
- Graphics mentioned by several people and the desire to “see” what the project will look like.
- Most respondents, even those who do not live in Monroe, only want to improve fish passage if it does not negatively impact the drinking water supply for Monroe.
- Recreation opportunities and access mentioned several times, wanting that included in the River’s future management options.
- Many people want to better understand the fish usage and potential for fish usage.