


Q1 If you have participated in Long Tom Watershed Council meetings or events in the past, about how many years have you participated?


Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
none--I have not participated in any Long Tom Watershed Council events or meetings yet	34.62%	9
up to 5 years	30.77%	8
between 5 and 10 years	7.69%	2
more than 10 years	26.92%	7
TOTAL		26

Q2 If you have participated with the council, in what ways have you been involved? Please check all that apply.

Answered: 26 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Reading the council's newsletter	69.23% 18
Attending council-sponsored public meetings at Monroe Library	46.15% 12
Attending council steering committee meetings at Monroe library	15.38% 4
Received phone calls from Long Tom Watershed Council staff	23.08% 6
Other (please specify)	53.85% 14
Total Respondents: 26	

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Attending annual meetings and tours	12/9/2017 10:48 AM
2	Visited site on several occasions at different water levels and prepared sketch alternatives for fish passage.	12/1/2017 6:00 AM
3	As of yet, I have not participated in the Long Tom Watershed Council meetings.	11/30/2017 9:18 AM
4	Attended public meeting in Veneta	11/29/2017 8:53 PM
5	Hosted Annual Meeting At Our Property	11/29/2017 8:11 PM
6	N/A	11/29/2017 10:34 AM
7	meetings with staff	11/28/2017 10:04 AM
8	Conducting surveys	11/28/2017 9:41 AM
9	none	11/27/2017 6:39 PM
10	Board Member of the LTWC	11/27/2017 6:04 PM
11	attended celebration, project on property	11/27/2017 5:52 PM

12	I have not. Just learned about it.	11/27/2017 5:41 PM
13	Did water samples on the lower Long Tom 2001/2005	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
14	I am staff testing the survey	11/22/2017 3:41 PM

Q3 One of the aspects of the Long Tom River Habitat Plan is Long Tom Watershed Council offering review and assistance to landowners for improving habitat for fish and wildlife on their property along the river. To what degree do you support or oppose the Council working with landowners on habitat projects on their own property?


Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly support	76.92%	20
Moderately support	11.54%	3
Neutral (neither support nor oppose)	3.85%	1
Moderately oppose	3.85%	1
Strongly oppose	3.85%	1
TOTAL		26

Q4 Please indicate the degree to which you support or oppose changes to improve habitat (such as ponded water becoming wetlands, connected oxbows have flow restored, native vegetation and basking logs added for turtles along the edge of a pond), as long as it doesn't increase flooding?


Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly support	73.08%	19
Moderately support	15.38%	4
Neutral (neither support nor oppose)	3.85%	1
Moderately oppose	3.85%	1
Strongly oppose	3.85%	1
TOTAL		26

Q5 Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements, ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. If you are not sure, don't have an opinion, or don't have enough information, choose "neutral."

Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
The Long Tom River provides essential fish habitat for trout and salmon.	40.00% 10	40.00% 10	8.00% 2	4.00% 1	8.00% 2	0.00% 0	25	2.00
I have questions about fish usage in the Long Tom River.	23.08% 6	34.62% 9	7.69% 2	19.23% 5	7.69% 2	7.69% 2	26	2.50
I support improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop structure.	72.00% 18	16.00% 4	0.00% 0	8.00% 2	4.00% 1	0.00% 0	25	1.56
I believe my property will be negatively impacted by changes to the Monroe Drop Structure.	4.00% 1	12.00% 3	20.00% 5	0.00% 0	40.00% 10	24.00% 6	25	3.79
I trust the Long Tom Watershed Council and project partners to provide unbiased, factual information.	48.00% 12	24.00% 6	12.00% 3	4.00% 1	12.00% 3	0.00% 0	25	2.08

I know I can reach out directly to either the Long Tom Watershed Council or the Corps of Engineers if I have concerns or want more information.	44.00% 11	44.00% 11	4.00% 1	8.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	1.76
Beautification of the stretch of river in Monroe is important.	52.00% 13	36.00% 9	12.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	1.60
Improvements to fish passage on the stretch of river in Monroe should include efforts to increase beautification.	48.00% 12	32.00% 8	20.00% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25	1.72
I would support removal of the Monroe Drop Structure if it is the most practical, cost effective alternative, if Monroe's waters supply can be maintained, and removal does not increase flood risk.	60.00% 15	16.00% 4	8.00% 2	0.00% 0	12.00% 3	4.00% 1	25	1.83

#	ANYTHING MORE YOU WANT TO ADD?	DATE
1	We should be willing to accept increased flood risk. No one is unbiased, so the question above is silly. I trust the groups above to provide quality information, but that is a different question.	12/9/2017 10:48 AM
2	Have any studies been made of alternatives to provide fish passage across the Fern Ridge Reservoir dam? If not, some effort to explore alternatives should be made.	12/1/2017 6:00 AM
3	I think it is wrong to use salmon as your discussion point..... How many have been caught in this river? Safe passage to where? Fern Ridge and then where?	11/30/2017 9:34 AM
4	There are two things I want to add to this discussion. One is the "pie in the sky" talk I have with my children. This is the stuff I/we hope will occur. We talk about the possibility, if the dam at Monroe is eliminated, of seeing salmon once again migrate up Ferguson Creek. Many factors have to change upstream to make this happen, but I believe this is possible (I think this would be an incredible "sea change") The second is the change I see in Monroe in terms of aesthetics. Intentional or not, Monroe is starting to become more of a "destination" for food, drink, etc. than neighbors like Junction City. If Monroe thinks long term (especially with the river nearby), the community could grow a become a beacon of agri-business.	11/29/2017 8:11 PM
5	Restoration of the oxbows in Monroe would greatly improve wildlife habitat and fisheries on the Long Tom and have a significant, positive impact on Monroe's livability and economic future	11/28/2017 10:58 AM
6	Beautification is in the eye of the beholder so it is difficult to answer those questions. Some people might see a natural riparian zone as messy compared to a park like setting yet I would consider natural habitat beautiful.	11/28/2017 9:41 AM
7	Farmers need water. It would be nice to have a small boat ramp.	11/27/2017 8:25 PM
8	Would also like to consider recreational activities such as fishing, swimming and kayaking.	11/27/2017 6:34 PM
9	We are just learning about the LTWC and our answers reflect our lack of knowledge.	11/27/2017 5:58 PM
10	I feel our fire protection and Monroe drinking water can be effected by this drop of the dam. Fix the existing fish ladder and leave the dam it's current height so boats that do use the river now has some depth. Put in a boat landing and let people enjoy what is made already. Long Tom gets to warm water to keep most fish species alive or in a livable environment for a long time. Few kinds of fish can handle a warm water environment. 40 years of fishing the long Tom river and catching fish. Leave the dam alone.	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
11	Restoration of natural form to rivers adds to quality of life.	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
12	Beautification should include a place to fish and walk along the river.	11/27/2017 5:41 PM

13	<p>The removal of the drop structure at Monroe seems like a big waste of money and resources and it would also put a cost burden on the City and land owners along the river. Fish have been using the fish ladder here as long as I have been around here (1969) This is Not a salmon river sure a few strays might find there way up here. When salmon migrate up river there natural instinct is to go East. As for other fish like the trout they seem to make it up the fish ladder just fine sure it could use some repairs that would be money well spent, fish like Carp, Bass, Crappie & Blue Gill have declined in Numbers due to the increase of Osprey,Eagles and Cormorants on the river in my opinion. Some of the question above cannot be answered without clarification they are worded to show support for this issue no matter how they are answered</p>	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
14	typo in Monroe's waters - no "s" in water	11/22/2017 3:41 PM

Q6 Do you have questions or concerns about improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure? Please let us know what they are.

Answered: 14 Skipped: 12

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	increasing fish habitat area may add more isolated channel segments that could trap fish as river levels recede and become a sink or not outweigh the benefits for fish populations.	12/4/2017 10:51 AM
2	What are the adjacent and abutting property owners planning for their land? How can they directly contribute to a successful solution?	12/1/2017 6:00 AM
3	No	11/30/2017 7:05 PM
4	Our water quality is the most important item. Making the hole deeper?? why so we can eventually suck up sludge? The drop structure is what makes entering into Monroe beautiful.	11/30/2017 9:34 AM
5	At this time, my primary concern with removing the Monroe Drop Structure is the water supply to the residents of Monroe. If the decision is made to remove the Monroe Drop Structure, what steps will the Army Corp of Engineers and Long Tom Water Council to assist the City of Monroe with securing a long-term, reliable water source? Are there any contingency plans or a long-term plan for the city's water?	11/30/2017 9:18 AM
6	What would happen to the water supply? How would the drop be removed? Would removal expose any hazards?	11/29/2017 8:53 PM
7	Rip it down.	11/29/2017 8:11 PM
8	No	11/28/2017 9:41 AM
9	How certain are we that the proposed modification will be efficacious?	11/27/2017 6:04 PM
10	Fix what is there if it's broken	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
11	No	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
12	N/a	11/27/2017 5:41 PM
13	Fish ladder improvements YES --- Drop Structure (Dam removal) NO..... Seems like someone's pet project to get a feather in their cap ! just my opinion.	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
14	zcg	11/22/2017 2:05 PM

Q7 What information would you find the most useful in order to support any specific alternative to improving fish passage at the Monroe Drop Structure? (such as what the river would look like, how river levels would be throughout a typical year, how much it would cost).

Answered: 16 Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	How can a fish passage project directly benefit the town of Monroe?	12/1/2017 6:00 AM
2	I'd like to see unimpeded boating access to the Long Tom river from the Fern Ridge dam to the Willamette.	12/1/2017 6:00 AM
3	I would like to see the design drawings for projects similar to the four alternatives.	11/30/2017 7:05 PM
4	Go downstream and put in two more dams that stair step the current dam.	11/30/2017 9:34 AM
5	If the Monroe Drop Structure is to be removed, the residents and the City of Monroe will need to know the impact of the river levels, short-term, long-term, and cost of alternative water sources.	11/30/2017 9:18 AM
6	River levels, impact to ecosystems	11/29/2017 8:53 PM
7	I don't.	11/29/2017 8:11 PM
8	Cost and floodplain connectedness would be high on my list.	11/28/2017 9:41 AM
9	Access to the river for recreation.	11/27/2017 6:34 PM
10	Projected cost (and to whom) and image of how the result might look.	11/27/2017 6:04 PM
11	How effective will the fish passage be? I know of other passages that haven't worked well.	11/27/2017 5:58 PM
12	Government always has to make things better when there is nothing wrong in the first place. Maybe to much government and money to spend on projects that don't need to be.	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
13	Please provide river profile before and after proposed change. Also, plan view, illustrating change in flood prone area and flood plain, if any.	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
14	N/A	11/27/2017 5:41 PM
15	What is the cost going to be to the City and property owners that use the River.	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
16	sasdg	11/22/2017 2:05 PM


Q8 Anything else you'd like to add so far?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 12

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Remove all structures in streams. It is unethical to control rivers that way.	12/9/2017 10:48 AM
2	No	11/30/2017 7:05 PM
3	I will attend today's meeting	11/30/2017 9:34 AM
4	I would like to also say, that it's very important to keep the residents inside and outside of the City of Monroe apprised of the Monroe Drop Structure situation. The Long Tom Watershed Community Meeting at the Monroe Community Library is a good start but on-going communication via multiple outlets will be very important.	11/30/2017 9:18 AM
5	Nope	11/29/2017 8:53 PM
6	--	11/29/2017 8:11 PM
7	Adding a foot bridge over the Long Tom in the vicinity of the drop structure would provide safe passage to Monroe City property and recreational opportunities located across the river. Adding a boat launch for canoes/kayaks would increase recreational opportunities in the area	11/28/2017 10:58 AM
8	No	11/28/2017 9:41 AM
9	Taking care of our natural areas, especially watersheds is vital to our future.	11/27/2017 6:39 PM
10	Hook the lagoons up to the long Tom as your fish ladder and clean them up.	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
11	Nope	11/27/2017 5:47 PM
12	Again, I think if there are going to be improvements this should include a walking path and a place to fish.	11/27/2017 5:41 PM
13	The Oxbow at the Monroe city park is drying up because the Corps of Engineers have not cleaned the culvert that supplies fresh water to it up stream it has been plugged for the past couple of years if the drop structure (Dam) is remove it will dry up completely. Answers to questions 9 &10 YES all city property is owned by me and everyone else that pays Taxes here.	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
14	asdf	11/22/2017 2:05 PM

Q9 Do you own property that lies directly along the Long Tom River?


Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
yes	19.23%	5
no	80.77%	21
TOTAL		26

Q10 Do you own property on the Long Tom River that can be impacted by Corps of Engineers maintenance actions downstream from Fern Ridge?

Answered: 26 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
yes	34.62%	9
no	65.38%	17
TOTAL		26


Q11 How would you describe your current use and management for the lands along the river, and what are your desires for long-term use of the land and river?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 22

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	On our property:some acreage rented for farming; some acreage dedicated to habitat restoration. Long term: More habitat restoration, including water flows in Coyote Creek downstream from Fern Ridge Dam	11/28/2017 10:06 AM
2	Dechannelizing would improve fish and wildlife habitat greatly. It would also improve recreational use of the river as well as esthetics.	11/27/2017 8:29 PM
3	Water source for The City of Monroe And recreation	11/27/2017 1:56 PM
4	asdf	11/22/2017 2:05 PM

Q12 Overall, how supportive are you of river management alternatives that would increase water quality and wildlife habitat benefits as long as the main objectives of flood risk reduction and water flow are met?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 19



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very supportive	57.14%	4
Moderately supportive	28.57%	2
Neutral (neither supportive nor opposed)	0.00%	0
Moderately opposed	14.29%	1
Very opposed	0.00%	0
TOTAL		7

Q13 How supportive are you of the Corps of Engineers exploring options for maintenance that include approaches that use fewer chemicals and mechanical approaches, and leaving as much vegetation as possible?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 19



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very supportive	57.14%	4
Moderately supportive	14.29%	1
Neutral (neither supportive nor opposed)	28.57%	2
Moderately opposed	0.00%	0
Very opposed	0.00%	0
TOTAL		7

Q14 Not necessarily including projects that involve increased seasonal water storage on your property, would you be interested in partnering with the Corps of Engineers or Long Tom Watershed Council for maintenance or enhancement projects in the future?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 19



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
yes, with the Corps of Engineers	28.57%	2
yes, with the Long Tom Watershed Council	0.00%	0
yes, with either the Corps of Engineers or Long Tom Watershed Council	28.57%	2
no, neither the Corps of Engineers nor Long Tom Watershed Council	28.57%	2
not sure	14.29%	1
TOTAL		7

Q15 Do you have seasonal flooding on any portion of your property in a typical year?


Answered: 6 Skipped: 20



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	50.00%	3
No	50.00%	3
TOTAL		6

Q16 To what degree does seasonal flooding impact your current property management?


Answered: 5 Skipped: 21



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Not at all	40.00% 2
Somewhat (in some years, depending on water levels)	60.00% 3
A lot (restricts my management activities)	0.00% 0
TOTAL	5

Q17 If you have seasonal flooding on your property, how supportive are you of allowing more seasonal flooding there?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 23



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very supportive	0.00%	0
Somewhat supportive	33.33%	1
Neutral (neither supportive nor opposed)	33.33%	1
Somewhat opposed	0.00%	0
Very opposed	33.33%	1
N/A	0.00%	0
TOTAL		3